Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/699,450	BHAGAVATULA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Omar Rojas	2874
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Omar Rojas</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Adenike Adebiyi</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>13 April 2006</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A.		
Claims discussed:  1, 4, and 5.		•
Prior art documents discussed: U.S. Patent No. 5,638,471 to Semo et al.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Examiner Rojas proposed an amendment to claims 1, 4, and 5 to further distinguish these claims from the Semo patent, previously made of record. The changes that were agreed to have been incorporated into an Examiner's amendment attached hereto		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
		·
John D. Jee		
Primary Examiner		•
(On poja		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)